

The Asymptotic Behavior of the Mayer Series Coefficients for a Dimer Gas on a Rectangular Lattice

Paul Federbush
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-1043

May 28, 2024

Abstract

The first 20 Mayer series coefficients for a dimer gas on a rectangular lattice are now known in every dimension, by the work of Butera, Pernici, and the author, [1]. In the present work we initiate a numerical study of a very promising asymptotic form. We let \mathcal{S} be

$$\mathcal{S} = \{r, k, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_r\}$$

with r an integer ≥ 1 , k an integer ≥ 1 , and c_i real numbers. For such a set \mathcal{S} we define an approximation to $b(n)$, $\hat{b}(n) = \hat{b}(n, \mathcal{S})$ by

$$\hat{b}(n) = \begin{cases} b(n), & n < k \\ f(n), & n \geq k \end{cases} \quad (\text{A1})$$

with

$$f(n) = (-1)^{n-k+1} b(k-1) \prod_{i=k}^n \left(c_0 + \frac{c_1}{i} + \dots + \frac{c_r}{i^r} \right) \quad (\text{A2})$$

Herein we study the limited problem with $k = 11 - r$, $r \leq 6$, and d in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 20\}$. In this situation we also choose the $\{c_i\}$ such that $f(n) = b(n)$ for $n \leq 11$. This determines the $c(i)$ uniquely. We hope further exploration in many directions will follow. We have not explicitly indicated d dependence of many of the variables. We will see that $\hat{b}(n)$ is a good approximation to $b(n)$, in a sense we will define. We will present some physicists' intuitions behind the form of our approximations. Theoretical analysis of these approximations, we envision, will be very difficult. We will indicate the form of theorems we should want to have proved.

We want to describe the general mathematical structure that enabled one to calculate the first 20 Mayer series coefficients $b_d(n)$ **in every dimension**. In each dimension, d , in addition to the Mayer series coefficients, $b_d(n)$, there is a related series of coefficients $a_d(n)$. For each n , $a_d(n)$ is a function of $\{b_d(i) | i \leq n\}$ and each $b_d(n)$ is a function of $\{a_d(i) | i \leq n\}$. This setup is detailed simply in [9]. The $a_d(n)$ are also simply related to the Virial coefficients, by eq. (12) in [7].

The relation between the $\{b_d(n)\}$ and the $\{a_d(n)\}$ was originally developed by a more complicated formalism, [3], Section 5. Both formalisms are presented side by side in convenient form in [4]. The magic relation that enables one to deal with the infinite number of dimensions is the following

$$a_d(n) = \sum_{\frac{n}{2}-1 < i \leq n} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{d^i}. \quad (1)$$

That such α 's exist is proven in [8]. It is the more complicated formalism that one works with to prove this formula. (We caution the reader that it is a tough grind to check the details here.) This equation enables one to find the $a_d(n)$ for all dimensions, for an n value for which the α_i are known; and thus the $b_d(n)$ for such values of n .

Butera and Pernici carried out the truly Herculean task of computing the $b_d(n)$ for $d \leq 10, n \leq 20$. From [4] or [9] one obtained $a_d(n)$ for $d \leq 10, n \leq 20$, and thus the $\alpha_i(n)$ for $n \leq 20$. These results are listed after eq. (6) in [1]. Using eq(1) careful mathematical consideration yields the $a_d(n)$ for $n \leq 20$, all d , and thus the $b_d(n)$ for $n \leq 20$, all d .

The dimer entropy density, $\lambda_d(p)$, in the form

$$\lambda_d(p) = \frac{1}{2} (p \ln(2d) - p \ln(p) - 2(1-p) \ln(1-p) - p) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_d(k) p^k \quad (2)$$

with p the dimer density, is studied in [1, 3, 4], Section 4 of [5, 7], and [9]. A remarkable fact is that $a_d(k)$ is positive for $k \leq 20$ in all dimensions!

One can compute using Eq. (12) of [7] that the first 20 Virial coefficients are also positive in every dimension! These two positivities are not directly related, arising as two separate miracles, the stuff that dreams...of research...are made of/on. The numerical study of this paper does not involve $\lambda_d(p)$ or the Virial coefficients.

In Table 1 we show the range of $b(n)$, listing the values of $b(2), b(10)$, and $b(20)$ in dimensions 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 20. Results of numerical computations are given herein to three significant figures.

Table 1

$d \rightarrow$	1	2	3	5	11	20
$b(2)$	-1.5×10^0	-0.7×10^1	-1.65×10^1	-4.75×10^1	-2.37×10^2	-0.790×10^3
$b(10)$	-0.926×10^4	-1.02×10^8	-1.29×10^{10}	-3.77×10^{12}	-1.57×10^{16}	-0.728×10^{19}
$b(20)$	-3.54×10^9	-1.03×10^{18}	-2.58×10^{22}	-2.51×10^{27}	-0.545×10^{35}	-1.16×10^{40}

We let $\hat{b}_r(n)$ be \hat{b} as defined by Eqs. (A1) and (A2) for a given value of r . We then set $E(r, d)$ the entry in row r and column d of Table 2 below as

$$E(r, d) = \max_{2 \leq n \leq 20} \frac{|\hat{b}_r(n) - b(n)|}{|b(n)|}. \quad (3)$$

Table 2

$r \backslash d$	1	2	3	5	11	20
1	0.528×10^{-2}	1.020×10^{-2}	1.590×10^{-2}	2.330×10^{-2}	2.650×10^{-2}	2.680×10^{-2}
2	0.000×10^0	2.090×10^{-5}	0.959×10^{-4}	1.190×10^{-3}	1.510×10^{-3}	1.420×10^{-3}
3	0.000×10^0	0.777×10^{-5}	0.713×10^{-4}	1.140×10^{-4}	1.680×10^{-4}	1.240×10^{-4}
4	0.000×10^0	0.811×10^{-5}	0.714×10^{-5}	2.320×10^{-5}	3.860×10^{-5}	2.040×10^{-5}
5	0.000×10^0	0.524×10^{-5}	0.602×10^{-5}	0.809×10^{-5}	1.450×10^{-5}	0.595×10^{-5}
6	0.000×10^0	1.900×10^{-6}	0.559×10^{-5}	3.850×10^{-6}	0.726×10^{-5}	2.540×10^{-6}

Any d dependence is not explicit in the notation.

We expect (hope) that the result of an extended theoretical attack will be theorems of the following form:



Desired Result

Given d , (dimension), $r \geq 1$, (degree of approximation), and ϵ , (desired accuracy), there are k , c_0, c_1, \dots, c_r , all functions of d, r, ϵ , such that with approximation $\hat{b}(i)$ defined by these variables as in the abstract, one has

$$\frac{|b(i) - \hat{b}(i)|}{|b(i)|} \leq \epsilon, \text{ for all } i. \quad (4)$$



It is easy to see that c_0 and c_1 will be uniquely determined (if this all makes sense). Of course this 'desired theorem' if true for $r = 2$ will be true for all r . But there are obviously desired refinement conjectures that one hopes are true, for which the form of the conjecture is different for different values of r . It may not be totally clear to what extent the data in Table 2 argues for these putative theorems, but I do believe it strongly suggests I have made a very good guess. On the other hand, we originally went into this study to find an approximation such that the $\{\hat{a}(n)\}$ associated to the $\{\hat{b}(n)\}$ of the approximation were positive for $n \leq 20$ (as were the $a(n)$ associated to $b(n)$). But none of our approximations had this property!

We turn to discussing the source of the form we pick of our approximations Eqs. (A1) and (A2). We first present the well known exact expression in dimension one:

$$b(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!n!}\right) & n > 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Of course these values for $n \leq 20$ can be obtained from the $\alpha_i(d)$ we have discussed above, as we did.

To understand this concluding paragraph one needs a familiarity with the computation of the Mayer series coefficients in terms of contributions from configurations of dimers, each configuration associated to a connected graph possibly with overlapping (multiple) edges. A natural 'generalization' of ?? to d dimensions is the equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n!}{\left(\left(\frac{n}{2d}\right)!\right)^{2d}}\right) \quad (6)$$

In particular note this equation counts the number of closed paths in d dimension with n steps and equal number of steps in each of the $2d$ directions. The path is made of dimers. It is a most

natural arrangement of dimers in a configuration type that grows quickly in N . But there are other configuration types that cannot be organized as a path, such as ones with many branches. And all the path types may have overlaps. For the sign in Eq. (A2) and ?? see [6], Section 6.4. The general theory of Mayer series coefficients in statistical mechanics is fully treated in [6].

Eq (5) and eq (6) have the property that asymptotically they are of the form

$$\sim \exp^{(k_{-1}n+k_0lnn+\frac{k_1}{n}+\frac{k_2}{n^2}...)}$$

If the $b(n)$ have a similar asymptotic behavior, with an appropriate convergence property to the sum in this equation, it should be possible to justify the desired theorems of this paper. There is work enough for many Ph.D theses in mathematical physics.

Acknowledgement We thank David Brydges for a long discussion of encouragement and direction.

References

- [1] P. Butera, P. Federbush and M. Pernici, “Higher-order expansions for the entropy of a dimer or a monomer-dimer system on d -dimensional lattices”, *Phys. Rev. E* **87**,062113 (2013)
- [2] P. Butera and M. Pernici, “High-temperature expansions of the higher susceptibilities for the Ising model in general dimension d ”, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 011139 (2012).
- [3] P. Federbush and S. Friedland, “An Asymptotic Expansion and Recursive Inequalities for the Monomer-Dimer Problem”, *J. Stat. Phys.* **143**, 306 (2011).
- [4] P. Federbush, “For the Monomer-Dimer $\lambda_d(p)$, the Master Algebraic Conjecture”, arXiv:1209.0987.
- [5] Peter Csikvari, “Matchings in Vertex-Transitive Bipartite Graphs”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 215 (2016), 99-134.
- [6] Barry M. McCoy, ”Advanced Statistical Mechanics”, *Oxford University Press* (2010).
- [7] P. Butera, P. Federbush and M. Pernici, “Positivity of the Virial Coefficients in lattice dimer models and upper bounds on the number of matchings on graphs”, *Physica A* 437 (2015) 278-294.
- [8] P. Federbush, “Dimer λ_d Expansion, Dimensional Dependence of j_n Kernels”, arXiv:0806.1941.
- [9] P. Federbush, “the Dimer Gas Mayer Series, the Monomer- Dimer $\lambda_d(p)$, the Federbush Relation”, arXiv:1207.1252.